

D 321179 09.12.2015

The Chair  
Committee on Petitions

Brussels,  
IS/npe[IPOL-COM-PETI D (2015)56094]

Ms Daniela Drandić  
Udruga RODA Roditelji u akciji - RODA  
Parents in Action Association  
Čanićeva 14  
10000 Zagreb  
CROATIE

**Subject: Petition No. 1400/2014**


Dear Ms Drandić,

Further to my letter of 15 October 2015, I would like to inform you that the Committee on Petitions continued its examination of your petition at its meeting of 12 November 2015 taking due account of the written information provided by the European Commission.

I am enclosing a copy of the Commission's considered opinion for your information, in the form of a notice to members.

On the basis of this opinion, with which it is in broad agreement, the Committee on Petitions decided to conclude its consideration of your petition, and thus close the file.

Yours sincerely,



Cecilia Wikström  
Chair  
Committee on Petitions

Annex: Reply from the Commission (CM\1071984EN - PE567.561v01-00)





26.8.2015

## NOTICE TO MEMBERS

**Subject:** Petition No 1400/2014 by D. D. (Croatian) on an alleged breach of provisions on professional qualifications of midwives of Directive 2005/36/EC in Croatia.

### 1. Summary of petition

The petitioner explains that Croatia is in breach with articles 40 and 42 of Directive 2005/36/EC (concerning the training and the description of the activities of midwives). Indeed, the Croatian government failed to pass the implementing acts related to the general legal act, which results in the impossibility for midwives to exercise their profession in freelance, since the administrative measures to open private practices are not defined. In practice, the petitioner explains that Croatian midwives are not permitted to provide care for women (this is reserved for gynaecologists and paediatricians), and they work exclusively in hospitals as assistants or nurses. This issue is all the more important in a free movement perspective: indeed, Croatia does not offer equivalent conditions of employment for all the midwives from the EU, whereas these conditions were previously set in a European directive. Therefore, the petitioner demands a review from the Commission, so that Croatia eliminates these irregularities and that Croatian women can benefit modern midwifery, in conformity with European standards.

### 2. Admissibility

Declared admissible on 30 April 2015. Information requested from Commission under Rule 216(6).

### 3. Commission reply, received on 26 August 2015

Midwives are one of the seven “sectoral” professions<sup>1</sup> with the minimum training

---

<sup>1</sup> i.e., doctors, nurses responsible for general care, dental practitioners, pharmacists, midwives, veterinary

requirements being harmonised at an EU level. The Directive 2005/36/EC on the recognition of professional qualifications contains a number of provisions that touch upon this subject.

In particular, the petitioner raises several distinct issues, namely (1) the access of midwives to certain activities guaranteed by the Directive and their level of autonomy from other health care professionals, and (2) the possibilities for Croatian midwives to carry out their activities in private practices (outside of a hospital setting).

Regarding the first issue, Article 42(2) of the Directive indeed requires that the Member States ensure that midwives have access to the minimum scope of activities listed therein. It is on this list that the petitioners have based their allegation claiming, in essence, that certain of these activities are exclusively being carried out by other professionals (such as gynaecologists, general care nurses or paediatricians) to the exclusion of midwives. In particular, the petitioners claim that midwives in Croatia are not allowed to:

- provide care services during pregnancy (diagnosis of pregnancies, monitoring normal pregnancies and carrying out examinations required for monitoring normal pregnancies) (see Article 42(2)(b)), which remain exclusive competence of the gynaecologists, and
- to provide postnatal care of mother and a new born baby (referred to in Article 42(2)(h)-(i)), which is exclusively provided by gynaecologists, general care nurses or paediatricians.

If the activities mentioned in Article 42(2) are indeed exclusively reserved to other health professions to the exclusion of midwives in Croatia, this would be in violation of the Directive which obliges the Member States to make sure that midwives are allowed to access the minimum activities listed therein. However, it is also to be noted that the list of minimum activities set out in Article 42(2) of the Directive does not prevent Member States from deciding that some of these professional activities could be shared with other health care professions.

In this context, please note that the concept of independence of midwives has been recently reflected in their minimum training requirements set out in the revised Directive on the recognition of professional qualifications. On 18 January 2014, the revised Directive (as amended by Directive 2013/55/EU) came into force and will have to be implemented in Member States by 18 January 2016. Amongst other things, it has upgraded the minimum list of required knowledge and skills for midwives, which now includes a revised point (d) of Article 40(3) as regards adequate clinical experience allowing midwives to practice independently and under their own responsibility. This new requirement under the revised Directive will oblige Member States to train midwives to be able to act autonomously. However, the new changes will not affect the competence of each Member State to define the attribution of tasks among health care professionals, and in particular to decide whether the midwifery activities listed in Article 42(2) of the Directive can be shared with other medical staff.

As regards the issue on the possibility to carry out midwifery activities in private practices, please note that Article 42(2) does not specifically regulate this matter. Article 42(2) does not prescribe whether midwives should be allowed to carry their activities outside of the hospital

---

surgeons and architects.

setting (i.e. in private practices), nor that Member States should allow for and that they should regulate out-of hospital births (midwifery centres, birth centres, home births). These are the matters belonging solely under the competence of Member States.

However, if a Member State chooses to regulate midwifery activities outside of a hospital setting by way of exclusively reserving those activities to medical doctors (to the exclusion of midwives), this should be assessed in the light of provisions of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), and in particular in light of those provisions on the freedom of establishment (Article 49 TFEU) and the freedom to provide services (Article 57 TFEU) and based on well-established case law of the European Court of Justice.

According to the European Court of Justice, Member States must comply with provisions on Internal Market freedoms, since those provisions prohibit Member States from introducing or maintaining unjustified restrictions on the exercise of those freedoms.<sup>1</sup> With regard to the freedom of establishment and the freedom to provide services in the healthcare sector in particular, the Court of Justice of the European Union indicated that Member States have a wide measure of discretion in the level of protection they wish to give to public health and the way in which that level is to be achieved.

### Conclusion

In view of the above and based on the limited information available to the Commission, the Commission is not in a position to establish whether the relevant Croatian regulatory provisions (the Midwifery Act and the alleged lack of implementing regulations) as well as the practice are in violation of Directive 2005/36/EC and/or the fundamental freedoms of establishment (Article 49 TFEU) and provision of services (Article 57 TFEU).

The Commission services are in the process of enquiring with the Croatian authorities by way of opening an EU Pilot case.

As regards other issues raised by the petitioner (such as the alleged breach of the European Convention on Human Rights), it shall be noted that these matters fall outside the scope of the Directive on the mutual recognition of professional qualifications.

---

<sup>1</sup> See e.g., case C-108/96, *Mac Quen*, paras.24, and C-294/00 *Grabner*, para.39.

